UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE

				and the second s	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF
UNITED STATE	S OF AMERICA,)		Thu	nuturns
)		4 4 4 4	M. Cours
V.)	Case No. 3:09-00092	arm	nonties
		}	Chief Judge Haynes	for mi	70 hum
PATRICK GIBBS	5.)		I how	parties
				do «	· Nonul
DEFENDANT'S FIRST MOTION TO CONTINUE SENTENCING HEARING an Agnesia					
Comes now Counsel for Patrick Gibbs and would move the Court for a brief Indenfin					
					Tu
continuance of the sentencing hearing that is currently set in the case on Friday, January					
18, 2013 at 3:30. (DE 652) Counsel would show as grounds for this Motion the					
10, 2010 00	or (DE ODE) Country in our a		5. 0 0 0 1 1 1	W.	LLAN
following:				.,	WEND
1.	Counsel was involved in a lea	ngthy tri	al from October 23, 2	:012 until	1-8-13

ONDEU

- 1. Counsel was involved in a lengthy trial from October 23, 2012 until

 November 16, 2012 in the Middle District of Tennessee case of *United States v. Gentry*.

 Due to the length of the trial in the *Gentry* case counsel was unable to meet with

 Pretrial Services in the normal timeframe to complete the presentence report in this

 case.¹
- 2. Due to counsel having to delay the presentence report meeting, pretrial services will not be able to get a completed presentence report in the normal scheduled timeframe.
- 3. Counsel has consulted with Hal McDonough of the United States
 Attorneys Office and he indicates he has no objection to the continuance.

¹ Immediately after the plea counsel and pretrial services were unable to meet. Due to final trial preparations and the trial counsel was in, the meeting for the presentence report did not occur until December of 2012.